



Shri Jasbir Singh,
S/o Shri Harbans Singh,
Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular.
Distt. Patiala.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Block Bhunarheri,
Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1435 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is present.
Shri Gurjeet Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Block Bhunarheri, Distt. Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 29.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Block Bhunarheri, Distt. Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that no information has been provided to him by the SPIO till today.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the complete information has been sent to the appellant through registered post on 28.07.2021. He further stated that the sought for information has also been sent to the appellant previously on 22.04.2021.

During the course of hearing the respondent was asked that whether he has sent the attested copies of information to the appellant and he replied that unattested copies of information has been sent to the appellant.

So, the SPIO is directed that the attested copies of information be provided by hand to the appellant within two days and compliance report be sent back to the Commission. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Surat Chander,
144, Housing Board Colony,
Urban Estate 1, Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1974 of 2020

Present:- Shri Surat Chander, appellant is present.
Ms. Sushma Duggal, APIO-cum-Building Inspector is present on behalf of the
SPIO, O/o Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri Surat Chander, appellant filed RTI application dated 03.02.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that he has received the amount of compensation of Rs. 2,000/-, but the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO till date.

The respondent stated that point-wise information/reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 26.11.2020 and letter dated 13.01.2021. She further stated that the information which was available in the office of the SPIO has already been provided to the appellant and regarding this a duly attested affidavit has also been sent to the appellant and copy to the Commission.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 15.03.2021 diarized vide no. 5829, which is a duly attested affidavit dated 26.02.2021. I have gone through the contents of the affidavit and it is submitted by the SPIO that point-wise information/reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 26.11.2020 and letter dated 13.01.2021. It is further submitted in the affidavit that all the information which was available in the office record has been sent to the appellant.

As the SPIO has provided all the information available in the official record to the appellant and regarding this he has also submitted a duly attested affidavit, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Gurpiar Singh Bhatti,
372, Anand Nagar-A, Tipri Town,
Patiala.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital,
Samana, Distt. Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Civil Surgeon,
Patiala.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2021 of 2020

Present:- Shri Gurpiar Singh Bhatti, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO O/o SMO, Civil Hospital, Samana,
Distt. Patiala.

O R D E R

Shri Gurpiar Singh Bhatti, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.02.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SMO, Civil Hospital, Samana, Distt. Patiala.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The SPIO has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that due to mass leave he is unable to attend the hearing for today.

I have gone through the Order dated 17.02.2021 and on that date both the parties were present and the SPIO was directed to co-ordinate with the appellant and to provide him the remaining information regarding point no.5 of the RTI application and send compliance to the Commission accordingly.

In compliance to the Order dated 17.02.2021, the SPIO has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no. 283 dated 02.03.2021 along with copies of information. I have gone through the said letter vide which the respondent has sent the remaining information to the appellant .

As the SPIO has complied with the Order of the Commission and sent the remaining information to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Sandeep Kumar Maluja,
S/o Shri Om Parkash,
R/o Street No.2, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, Tehsil Malout,
Distt. Sri Muktsar Sahib.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Food and Supply Controller,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 590 of 2020

Present:- Shri Sandeep Kumar Maluja, complainant/applicant is present.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o DFSC, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Sandeep Kumar Maluja, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.03.2020 and sought information from the SPIO, O/o DFSC, Mohali regarding the allotment of free kerosene oil on the occasion of 550th years of celebration.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant-applicant is present today, whereas the respondent is absent.

The complainant-applicant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him.

The SPIO though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a copy of letter no. 3077 dated 14.7.2021 along with copy of letter no. 3615 dated 03.11.2020 and copies of information.

I have gone through letter no. 3077 dated 14.07.2021 and it is submitted by the SPIO that the same information has also been sought by the appellant from the Head Office and the District Office, Mohali has provided the said information to the appellant vide letter no. 3615 dated 03.11.2020.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 05.03.2020 and also gone through letter no. 3077 dated 14.07.2021 and letter no. 3615 dated 03.11.2020. The SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application and sent the sought for information to the appellant, so the present appeal is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Bhagwant Singh,
S/o Shri Joginder Singh,
Village Jangpur,
Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP (Rural),
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o IGP, Ludhiana Range,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3739 of 2020

Present:- Shri Bhagwant Singh, appellant is present.
ASI Harpreet Singh (97798-00315), O/o SSP (Rural), Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Bhagwant Singh, appellant filed RTI application and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o SSP (Rural), Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him by the SPIO.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the representative of the appellant namely Shri Jagshir Singh has received the information by hand on 06.11.2020 and he has executed a duly signed receipt after receiving the information.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 15.03.2021, diarized vide no.5907, which is a copy of letter no.59/RTI dated 16.02.2021 along with a copy of duly signed receiving dated 06.11.2020 made by Shri Jagshir Singh, authorized representative of the appellant.

But as the appellant stated that the sought for information has not been provided to him, so the Respondent SPIO is directed to provide the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant by hand and send the compliance report to the Commission accordingly. With this observation the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri J.S. Jaswal (Brig.),
Vir Chakra, Sena Medal,
Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court,
Chamber No. 101, Punjab Avenue,
Ladhewati, Jalandhar.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3766 of 2020

Present:- Shri J.S. Jaswal (Brig.), appellant is present.
ACP Balwinder Iqbal Singh Kahlon is present on behalf of Shri Gurmeet Singh,
SPIO-cum-DCP, Jalandhar.

O R D E R

Shri J.S. Jaswal (Brig.), appellant filed RTI application dated 18.02.2020 before the SPIO, O/o Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar and sought information regarding Shri Bimal Kant, Inspector (now ACP). The SPIO has sent reply to the appellant vide letter no.323-D dated 23.05.2020 and denied the information U/s 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Aggrieved with the reply of the SPIO, the appellant filed First Appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 31.05.2020, which was decided and the First Appeal of the appellant was dismissed by the First Appellate Authority. Then the appellant filed Second Appeal before the Punjab State Information Commission on 02.12.2020. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for 17.02.2021 to be heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

I was on medical leave, so the case was heard by the Ld. State Information Commissioner, Smt. Preety Chawla on 17.02.2021 and after hearing, both the parties were directed to appear in person before the Commission on the next date of hearing, but on the next date of hearing i.e. 06.05.2021, the case was postponed and not heard.

Today on 29.07.2021, I have heard the present appeal case. The appellant, Shri J.S. Jaswal is present and ACP Balwinder Iqbal Singh Kahlon is present on behalf of Shri Gurmeet Singh, SPIO- cum-DCP, Jalandhar. I have heard both the parties and perused the case file. Shri J.S. Jaswal, appellant has filed the RTI application and sought the following information :-

“ *That Sh.Bimal Kant, Inspector (Now DSP) was suspended from duty for dereliction of duty, with reference brutal attack on Brig J.S. Jaswal, VrC, SM, FIR No. 123 30/05/2017. You are requested to please provide following documents :-*

Contd..p2..

- i) Copy of Suspension order, no. 46249-S6 dated v1.6.2017.
- ii) Copy of Charge Sheet.
- iii) Copy of Inquiry report, along with recommendations of the Inquiry officer, endorsements by the Intermediary authorities, & Legal Opinion, & all the attachments/exhibits.
- iv) Copy of Final Orders of The Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar. “

The information sought by the appellant was denied by the SPIO U/s 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 and the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter no.323-D dated 23.05.2020.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 18.02.2020 filed by the appellant and he sought information regarding Shri Bimal Kant, Inspector (now ACP), who was suspended from duty for dereliction from duty. The detailed RTI application is given above. The main grudge of the appellant is that there was Civil Court decree in his favour and he filed execution before the Civil Court and during the execution proceedings the Civil Court issued warrant for delivery of possession and warrant officer has to come personally on the spot for the delivery of the possession and when the appellant was going on road on his motor cycle, the other party attacked and injured him and he was brought to the hospital and his medical was conducted and his statements were recorded by the police and FIR No. 123 dated 30.05.2017 was registered at Police Station, Rama Mandi, Jalandhar under Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar.

I have gone through the contents of the FIR No.123 dated 30.05.2017. The appellant has not leveled any allegations against the police officer.

The appellant filed Second Appeal and I have gone through the grounds of the appeal and the appellant made allegations against Shri Bimal Kant, Inspector (Now ACP), for his failure to discharge his duty i.e. failure to protect the life and liberty of the complainant. He submitted that the SHO, Shri Bimal Kant has not provided proper security to him. Though it is the duty of the SHO to maintain the law and order in the police station area, but it is not possible that the SHO should be physically present with every person.

I have perused the case file and gone through the contents of the FIR. There are allegations against the accused persons i.e Sewa Singh and others and no allegations against the police personnel. It is pertinent to mention here that the appellant now making the allegations against Bimal Kant, ACP which is after thought of the incident as no allegations have been leveled against Bimal Kant in the FIR.

The information sought by the appellant has been denied U/s 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, which is as follows :-

“ Exemption from disclosure of information : - Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, there shall be no obligation to give any citizen : Information which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has not relationship to any public activity or interest, or which would cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of the individual unless the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer or the appellate authority, as the case may be, is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information.”

The SPIO-cum-DCP, Jalandhar has denied the sought for information regarding Shri Bimal Kant, Inspector (now ACP, HQ, Jalandhar) U/s 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 being his personal information . The SPIO has sent the reply to the appellant and also sent the reply to the appeal to the Commission.

I have gone through the RTI application and the reply submitted by the SPIO and the other papers on the file and heard Shri J.S.Jaswal, appellant and ACP Balwinder Kumar Kahlon. The appellant stated that though the information is related to Shri Bimal Kant, ACP and is exempted U/s 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005, but it may be disclosed in the larger public interest.

The SPIO has relied upon the Judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in SLP No. 27734 of 2012 titled Girish Ramchandra Deshpande Versus Central Information Commissioner and others and sent a copy of the same to the Commission. I have gone through the Judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court on Section 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The information which is related with the service career of an employee and is primarily a matter between the employee and the employer and normally those aspects are governed by the service rules which fall under the expression “personal information”, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or public interest. On the other hand, the disclosure of which would cause unwarranted invasion on the privacy of that individual.

Shri J.S. jaswal, appellant agitated that the information though is personal, but it may be provided in the larger public interest. I after hearing both the parties and gone through the Judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and after the perusal of the case file, is of the opinion that the appellant is seeking the information only being the personal grudge and personal reason against a police officer and when he was asked to explain that how the larger public interest involves to justify the disclosure of personal information, then the appellant could not satisfy the Commission that it would be for the larger public interest.

So, I am of the view that the information sought by the appellant is the personal information of third party ACP Bimal Kant (now retired) and the SPIO has rightly denied the information U/s 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 as no larger public interest is involved in the disclosure of personal information sought through RTI application dated 18.02.2020 filed by the appellant. The appellant has simply seeking information for his personal reasons and not for the larger public interest. It is therefore, the appeal case filed by Shri J.S. Jaswal, appellant is hereby **dismissed**.

Order pronounced.

Dated: 29.07.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Bholapur, Jhabewal,
Post Office Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Amritsar Division, Amritsar.

(Regd.) Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare, Punjab,
Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Amritsar Division, Amritsar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3774 of 2020

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the respondents.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant filed RTI application dated 25.08.2020 before the SPIO, O/o Principal Secretary, Excise & Taxation Deptt. Punjab, Chandigarh. The RTI application was transferred U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act, 2005 to the SPIO, O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Amritsar Division, Amritsar vide letter no.578 dated 10.09.2020.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 17.02.2021 passed by the Ld. State Information Commissioner, Smt. Preeti Chawla. Shri Navtej Singh, Excise & Taxation Inspector, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Amritsar-1 was present on that date and he stated that the information sought by the appellant does not relate with the office of the SPIO rather it is related with the health department, Punjab, so the SPIO, O/o Director, Health and Family Welfare, Punjab, Chandigarh was impleaded as party in this case with the direction to supply the sought for information to the appellant.

Shri Jasbir Singh, appellant though is absent today, but he has sent a mail to the Commission on 08.07.2021, diarized vide no.14907 and it is submitted by the appellant that he has received the sought for information and he is satisfied with the information.

As the appellant submitted in his mail that he has received the information, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864119, Email: - psic29@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Shri Kala Singh,
S/o Shri Jograj Singh,
R/o Village Gurne Kalan,
Tehsil Budhlada, Distt. Mansa.

.....Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Budhlada,
Distt. Mansa.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 25 of 2021

Present:- Shri Kala Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Shri Harbhajan Singh, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the SPIO,
O/o BDPO, Budhlada, Distt. Mansa.

O R D E R

Shri Kala Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 25.06.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o BDPO, Budhlada, Distt. Mansa.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant/applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the information was sent to the complainant/applicant through registered post vide letter dated 31.03.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the SPIO, which is a copy of letter dated 31.03.2021 along with a photocopy of postal receipt dated 31.03.2021.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 25.06.2020 and also gone through letter dated 31.03.2021 vide which the information was sent to the applicant. The SPIO has sent point-wise information to the applicant. The information was sent to the applicant through registered post vide letter dated 31.03.2021 and a period of about four months has been passed, but the applicant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the information and neither he has attended the hearing for today, it means he is satisfied with the information. So, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**

Shri Harjot Singh Harikay (Advocate),
Chamber No. 1011,
District Courts Complex,
Ludhiana.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Ludhiana-2,
Mini Sectt. Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Ludhiana-2,
Mini Sectt. Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 97 of 2021

Present:- Shri Harjot Singh Harikay (Advocate), appellant is absent.
Shri Jaspal Singh, Excise and Taxation Officer, O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation
Commissioner, Ludhiana-2, Mini Sectt. Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Harjot Singh Harikay (Advocate), appellant filed RTI application dated 26.05.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Deputy Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Ludhiana-2, Mini Sectt., Ludhiana.

Today the case is heard CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The appellant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present and he stated that the sought for information has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 30.04.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission for compliance.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the Assistant Commissioner, State Tax, Ludhiana-3, which is a copy of letter no. 243/RTI dated 30.04.2021 along with copy of letter no. 368 dated 26.04.2021 and a copy of letter no. 2805 dated 19.04.2021.

I have gone through letter no. 243/RTI dated 30.04.2021 and it is submitted by the Assistant Commissioner, State Tax, Ludhiana-3 that in compliance to the Order dated 25.03.2021, the sought for information is being sent to the applicant vide the said letter.

The SPIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 30.04.2021 and a period of about three months have been passed, but the applicant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the information and neither he has attended the hearing for today, it means he is satisfied with the information sent by the respondent. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Ms. Renu Sood,
1118, Sector 43-B,
Chandigarh.

.....Appellant.

Vs

(Regd.)Public Information Officer,
O/o Principal,
Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Jarout,
Distt. SAS Nagar.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Principal,
Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Jarout,
Distt. SAS Nagar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 89 of 2021

Present:- Ms. Renu Sood, appellant is present.
Ms. Gurdeep Kaur, PIO-cum-Lecturer, O/o Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School,
Jarout, Distt. SAS Nagar is present.

O R D E R

Ms. Renu Sood, appellant filed RTI application dated 18.08.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Principal, Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Jarout, Distt. SAS Nagar.

Today the case is heard CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that incomplete information has been provided to her by the PIO.

On the other hand the PIO stated that the information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 12.10.2020 and again the information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 05.05.2021 and she has given a receiving of the same. She further stated that the information which was available in the record of the public authority has already been provided to the appellant and except that no other information is available in the official record.

The Commission has received an e-mail sent by the PIO, which is a copy of letter dated 05.05.2021. I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the PIO that the information was sent to the appellant through registered post on 12.10.2020 and again the information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 05.05.2021. The said letter bears the receiving made by the appellant, Ms. Renu Sood.

The PIO has provided the information to the appellant as per the available official records and except that no other information is available in the official records, so the PIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Hence, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Bhushan Bhardwaj, S/o Late Shri Shiv Kumar,
H.No. 490, Sector 61,
Chandigarh.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 127 of 2021

Present:- Shri Bhushan Bhardwaj, appellant is absent.
None present on behalf of the PIO, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
Fatehgarh Sahib.

O R D E R

Shri Bhushan Bhardwaj, appellant filed RTI application dated 24.07.2020 and sought some information from the PIO, O/o Asstt. Excise & Taxation Commissioner, Fatehgarh Sahib.

Today the case is heard CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 25.03.2021 and the PIO was directed to send the attested copies of information to the appellant through registered post within 10 days and copy of the same be sent to the Commission as compliance.

In compliance to the Order the SPIO has sent a mail to the Commission on 07.05.2021, diarized vide no. 10179, which is a copy of letter no. 213 dated 05.05.2021 along with attested copies of information.

I have gone through the said letter vide which the attested copies of sought for information has been sent to the appellant.

The SPIO has sent the sought for information to the appellant through registered post vide letter no.213 dated 05.05.2021 and a period of about three months have been passed, but the appellant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the information and neither he has attended the hearing for today, it means he is satisfied with the information sent to him by the PIO. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Rajiv Kumar Nanda, S/o Shri Tilak Raj Nanda,
R/o H.No. 683/13, Vishal Colony,
Near Dashmesh Public School, Patti,
Distt. Tarn Taran.

.....Appellant.

Vs

(Regd.) Public Information Officer,
O/ o Addl. Chief Secretary,
Home Affairs & Justice, Punjab,
Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Secretary,
Govt. of Punjab,
Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2419 of 2019

Present:- Advocate Joginder Pal Devgan is present on behalf of Shri Rajiv Kumar Nanda, appellant.
DSP Dilbagh Singh is present on behalf of Shri Dhruvan H. Niwale, IPS, SPIO-cum-SSP, Tarn Taran.
ASI Rajinder Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Intelligence, Punjab, Mohali.

ORDER

Shri Rajiv Kumar Nanda, appellant filed RTI application dated 17.12.2018 before the SPIO, O/o Chief Secretary, Govt. of Punjab, Chandigarh and he sought some information related to FIR No.5 dated 09.01.2018, registered under Sections 302/307 IPC at Police Station Valtaha, Distt. Tarn Taran.

Today Advocate Joginder Pal Devgan is present on behalf of Shri Rajiv Kumar Nanda, appellant. DSP Dilbagh Singh is present on behalf of Shri Dhruvan H. Niwale, IPS, SPIO-cum-SSP, Tarn Taran and ASI Rajinder Singh is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Intelligence, Punjab, Mohali.

I have gone through the Order dated 11.11.2020 and the SPIO, O/o Intelligence, Punjab, Mohali was directed that the attested copy of inquiry report conducted by Shri S.S. Maan, IPS, AIG, State Special Operation Cell, Amritsar, which was sent through letter no.5005 dated 16.11.2018 be sent to the appellant through registered post and compliance be sent to the Commission.

I have gone through the Order dated 10.02.2021 passed by the Ld. State Information Commissioner, Smt. Preety Chawla and on that date ASI Rajinder Singh, O/o Intelligence, Punjab, Mohali was present and he stated that in compliance to the Orders dated 11.11.2020 and 15.12.2020 passed by Shri Avtar Singh Kaler, Hon'ble State Information Commissioner, Punjab, the attested copy of inquiry report conducted by Shri S.S. Maan, IPS, AIG, State Special Operation Cell, Amritsar, was sent to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 15.01.2021 and a copy of the same has also been sent to the Commission as

Contd..p2...

compliance. The respondents O/o SSP, Tarn Taran submitted a duly attested affidavit dated 10.02.2021 to the Commission, in which it was submitted that the appellant sought information regarding FIR No. 5/18 under sections 302, 307, 506/336, 148, 149 IPC registered at Police Station Valtoha and as per the Order of the Commission, the attested copies of 94 pages of information i.e. copies of applications, statements and FIRs were provided to the appellant by hand and It is further submitted in the affidavit that in appeal case no.2419 of 2019 the information which was related with the office of SSP Tarn Taran has already been provided to the appellant.

Today at the time of hearing DSP Dilbagh Singh, who is present on behalf of the SPIO-cum-SSP, Tarn Taran, stated that 94 pages of information has been provided by hand to the appellant vide letter dated 20.10.2020 and again 7 pages were provided to the appellant and except that no other information is available with the office of the SPIO. He further stated that they have submitted an affidavit regarding this, which is in the file of the Commission.

ASI Rajinder Singh O/o Intelligence Punjab, Mohali stated that they have sent the attested copy of inquiry report conducted by Shri S.S. Maan, IPS, AIG, State Special Operation Cell, Amritsar to the appellant through registered post vide letter dated 15.01.2021.

Advocate Joginder Pal Devgan, who appeared on behalf of the appellant stated that the appellant had received the inquiry report conducted by Shri S.S. Maan, IPS, AIG, State Special Operation Cell, Amritsar, and also received the information about 100 pages as stated by DSP Dilbagh Singh. But even then he is agitating that incomplete information has been provided by the District Police. He was asked by the Commission to point out that which documentary information has not been provided to the appellant which is in the custody of the public authority i.e. SSP, Tarn Taran, but Advocate Joginder Pal Devgan failed to reply the query put up by the Commission.

So, as per the Order dated 11.11.2020 the office of the SSP, Tarn Taran and the office of Intelligence, Punjab Mohali both have provided the information to the appellant and DSP Dilbagh Singh who appeared on behalf of the SPIO-cum-SSP, Tarn Taran stated that they have provided the information which was in the custody of the public authority and except that no other documentary information sought by the appellant remained to be provided.

So, the matter involved in the appeal case has been resolved and the information has been provided to the appellant. Therefore, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 29.07.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab

(Regd.) CC: Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran. - for intimation.

(Regd.) CC: Additional Director General of Police, Intelligence Wing, Mohali.

- for intimation.



Shri Harjap Singh Boparai,
VPO Ghudani Kalan,
Tehsil Payal, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer,
Block Khamano,
Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 322 of 2021

Present:- Shri Harjap Singh Boparai, complainant/applicant is absent .
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Block Development & Panchayat
Officer, Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

O R D E R

Shri Harjap Singh Boparai, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 08.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Block Development & Panchayat Officer, Block Khamano, Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

I have gone through the Order dated 15.07.2021 and on that date both the parties were absent, but the respondent has informed the Bench telephonically that the sought for information is ready and regarding this the applicant was contacted to collect the information, but he has refused to collect the same. So, the SPIO was directed to send the attested copies of information to the applicant through registered post within a week and copy of the same be sent to the Commission.

Today though the SPIO is absent, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission, which is a photocopy of postal receipt dated 20.07.2021 vide which the information was sent to the applicant.

As the SPIO has complied with the Order of the Commission and sent the information to the applicant through registered post on 20.07.2021, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 29.07.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.